	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/520,968	KIKUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher M. Koehler	3726
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT ROT the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet with the c (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to 3 and MPEP 1308.	orrespondence address plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to application filed 1/10/	<u>/2005</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-5 and 7-9.		
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply	national stage application from the
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	es reason(s) why the oath or declarant be submitted.	ation is deficient.
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
<ol> <li>hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of</li> </ol>		
Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	5 Distinguished and 1	DALLA ALLEGA (DTO 450)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Dotice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	<ul><li>5. ☐ Notice of Informal F</li><li>6. ☑ Interview Summary</li></ul>	Patent Application (PTO-152)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date 1/10/2005  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material  GEORGE NGUYEN	Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. 🖾 Examiner's Amenda	te <u>3/30/2006</u> .
PRIMARY EXAMINED		

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Louis Deljuidice on March 30, 2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9, drawn to a manufacturing method for a frame body.

Group II, claim(s) 6, drawn to a frame body.

- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claims 1 and 6 lack unity because claim 6 is drawn to the product generated by the process of claim 1.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Louis Deljuidice on March 30, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5 and 7-9. Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration by

the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, and being that claims 1-5 and 7-9 drawn to the elected group are in condition for allowance claim 6 has been cancelled by the applicant without traverse.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Claim 5 has been amended as follows:

Claim 5 (currently amended): A manufacturing method for a frame body according to claim 1, <u>further comprising</u> [[wherein]] forming the height of the ringshaped member in the axial direction at a height that is equivalent to a plurality of the frame bodies when forming the ring-shaped member,

cutting the rectangular member at a height equivalent to the one frame body after forming the rectangular member using this ring-shaped member, and die forging these frame bodies separately.

- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 8. The prior art teaches making rectangular frames from annular rings by ring rolling a metal to form a ring-shaped member. This step is followed by either deforming the ring in radial directions to achieve a finished part, or placing the ring in a mold and die forging the ring into a rectangular frame in a finished form.

The prior art does not teach forming the ring in radial directions and subsequently die forging the part. The examiner finds no motivation to combine these steps since the prior art teachings of the individual steps result in a finished product and nowhere does the prior art teach that these products should be subjected to further processing by die forging or deforming respectively. This teaching in combination with the limitations as claimed is therefore allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Koehler whose telephone number is (571) 272-3560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30A-4:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4491. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**CMK** 

GEORGE NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER